

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,761	09/04/2003	Dennis Ausiello	17509-0065	6913	
29052	7590 05/16/2006		EXAM	INER	
	ND ASBILL & BREN	HAN, MARK K			
	999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3767		
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/654,761	AUSIELLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark K. Han	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tte, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	February 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	i.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,24,27,28 and 30-37</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,24,27,28,30-33 and 37</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies in	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)</li> </ul>	<del>,</del>	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/654,761

Art Unit: 3767

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,797,898 to Santini, Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Santini") in view of Rubin, et al., "The Potential of Parathyroid Hormone as a Therapy for Osteoporosis," *Int. J. Fertil.* 47(3): 103-15 (2002) (cited by applicants; hereinafter "Rubin").

Santini discloses an implantable device having a substrate, plurality of reservoirs, a release system, control means, sensor and reservoir caps. See Figures 1-7c. Santini suggests that hormones may be used in the release system but does not specifically employ parathyroid hormone. See col. 5, lines 12-18. Rubin suggests the use of parathyroid hormone to treat osteoporosis. Rubin discusses dosage, length of therapy and the use of bone resorption inhibitors as well. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Santini, by including parathyroid hormone as the release system in order to provide therapy for those suffering from osteoporosis.

2. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santini and Ruben, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,990 to Knepp et al. (hereinafter "Knepp") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,011,011 to Hageman.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,761 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

Santini and Rubin disclose the claimed invention as shown above except for the parathyroid hormone in a lyophilized form. Knepp discloses a way to lyophilize parathyroid hormone with an excipient. See col. 4, lines 4-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Santini and Rubin by including a lyophilized form of parathyroid hormone in order to enhance the shelf life of the drug.

Santini, Rubin and Knepp disclose the claimed invention as shown above except for an excipient of polyethylene glycol. Hageman teaches the use of polyethylene glycol as an excipient with parathyroid hormone. See col. 3, line 28 through col. 4, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Santini, Rubin and Knepp by including polyethylene glycol as an excipient in order to provide a sustained or prolonged release of the hormone.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-21, 24, 27, 28, 30-33 and 37 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The subject matter of the independent claims could either not be found or was not suggested in the prior art of record. The subject matter not found was the implantable device having a plurality of discrete reservoir caps separating the release system from the outside environment and a means for disintegrating one or more reservoir caps by electrothermal ablation in combination with the other elements (or steps) in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,761 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 16 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a means of disintegrating one or more reservoir caps by electrothermal ablation) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark K. Han
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3767

mah.

mkh May 12, 2006

KEVIN SIRMONS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kwin C. Jermon